## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:

		01,1	E IVIII ( O I E O O O O E I ( E I II I E		
Case No.	CV 12-8249-	·R (SP)		Date	March 21, 2013
Title	NANCY L. PEREZ v. CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security Administration				
Present: T	he Honorable	Sheri Pym, U	United States Magistrate Judge		
Kimberly Carter			n/a		n/a
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.

n/a n/a

Attorneys Present for Defendant:

(In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Why Case Should Not Be Dismissed **Proceedings:** for Failure to Prosecute

On October 3, 2012 plaintiff filed a Complaint for Review of Final Decision of the Commissioner of Social Security pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). On October 9, 2012, the court issued its Case Management Order in this matter. The Case Management Order set forth, inter alia, a schedule for filing of the Answer followed by plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Complaint. Defendant filed his Answer on February 13, 2013. Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Complaint was therefore due on March 15, 2013.

To date, the court has received no such Memorandum from plaintiff. Nor has plaintiff requested or obtained an order from the court granting an extension of time to do so. It therefore appears that plaintiff has violated the court's orders and is not properly prosecuting this matter.

Accordingly, the court hereby issues this Order to plaintiff to Show Cause in writing not later than April 5, 2013 why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff may discharge the Order to Show Cause by filing, not later than April 5, 2013, a written request for a reasonable extension of time for plaintiff to prepare, file, and serve a Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Complaint.

The court warns plaintiff that failure to respond to the Order to Show Cause by April 5, 2013, or further failure to prosecute this action in accordance with the Case Management Order and other court orders, may result in dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute.